DO NOT REMOVE FROM BID PACKET



ADDENDUM NO. 1

- TO: All Plan Holders and Prospective Bidders
- FROM: City of Capitola Public Works
- DATE: April 10, 2020
- RE: ADDENDUM NO. 1 RECONSTRUCT STORM DAMAGED BIKE PATH AND SHOULDER ON PARK AVENUE

ADDENDUM NO. 1

City of Capitola, California

This Addendum shall be considered as a part of the bid documents for the subject project as though it had been issued at the same time and shall be incorporated integrally therewith. Where provisions of the following supplementary data differ from those of the original documents, this Addendum shall govern and take precedence.

Contractors are hereby notified that they shall make any necessary adjustments in their proposals on account of this Addendum. It will be construed that each proposal is submitted with full knowledge of all modifications and supplemental data specified herein.

Receipt of this Addendum must be acknowledged on the Addendum Acknowledgement form. Signature on said Bid Form indicates acknowledgement of receipt of Addendum No. 1, and that said Addendum No. 1 was properly evaluated in bidder's proposal. Any proposal not in compliance with this requirement may be rejected.

Steven E. Jesberg, Public Works Director

The following is hereby added and/or amended:

The attached Geotechnical Design Alternatives Memorandum, prepared by Cal Engineering & Geology is provided for informational purposes. The information in this memorandum was used in the preparation of the design drawings and specifications. This document shall be made an exhibit to the specifications and made part of the contract documents.



CAL ENGINEERING & GEOLOGY

785 Ygnacio Valley Rd. | Walnut Creek | CA 94596 6455 Almaden Expwy., Suite 100| San José | CA 95120 23785 Cabot Blvd., Suite 321 | Hayward | CA 94545 www.caleng.com

TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM

To: Jim Bui, P.E. Nichols Consulting Engineers (NCE) 501 Canal Boulevard, Suite I Richmond, California 94804

From: Paul Sorci, P.E., G.E. and Mehal Vitthal, E.I.T.
Cal Engineering & Geology, Inc.
6455 Almaden Expressway, Suite 100
San Jose, California 95120

Date: February 11, 2019

RE: Design Alternatives Memorandum - Revised Park Avenue Slope Repair City of Capitola, California CE&G Document 180920.001

INTRODUCTION

Cal Engineering & Geology (CE&G) has provided Nichols Consulting Engineers (NCE) with geotechnical engineering services in support of the Park Avenue Slope Repair Project. In February of 2017, several large Eucalyptus trees on the eastern side of Park Avenue in the City of Capitola fell across the road during a severe wind storm, causing damage to the slope below the roadway. The damage included over steepening the slope and damaging the roadway shoulder along the north bound lane. The damaged area measures approximately 150 feet along the roadway. The location of the Project is shown on Figure 1, Site Location Map.

The slope repair is intended to restore cross-sectional width of the roadway, including the shoulder and bike lane, for the damaged section of Park Avenue. The geotechnical engineering design services to be provided as part of this contract have included the production of this memorandum. Civil design of the selected repair are to incorporate the design recommendations within this memorandum.

CE&G's work included compiling and reviewing available pertinent geotechnical and geologic data; performing a field reconnaissance, a field exploration and laboratory testing

program, and geotechnical engineering analyses; assessing geotechnical design alternatives for the proposed improvements; and preparing this memorandum.

SITE DESCRIPTION

This site is located on the eastern side of Park Avenue in the City of Capitola, California, within the segment between Coronado Street and Kennedy Drive. The dormant Santa Cruz Branch Rail Line (SCBRL) corridor runs parallel to Park Avenue, about 45 feet downslope of the roadway. On the western side of Park Avenue is a debris catchment wall to prevent soil and vegetation from flowing onto Park Avenue. Park Avenue consists of a two-lane asphalt paved roadway with a bike lane on the west side of the road and a bike lane on the east side that is currently inoperable due to the damaged slope within the Project area. The Project area is relatively open and bounded by Park Avenue at the top of slope and raised SCBRL corridor at the slope toe. Shrubs and bushes are located within the damaged slope, while the adjacent areas contain large Eucalyptus trees.

The damaged slope is currently covered with visquine and sandbags to protect the uplifted and loose surficial soils from surface runoff and reduce potential for additional damage. Temporary concrete "K-rail" barricades are placed along the edge of roadway pavement within the limits of the damaged slope. Photo 1 below shows the current condition of the slope along Park Avenue.



Photo 1. Slope Damage Along Park Avenue, taken July 7, 2018

SITE INVESTIGATION

SITE RECONNAISSANCE

CE&G performed field reconnaissance of the site in advance of and on the day of our subsurface investigation. The reconnaissance included photographic documentation of the project site, identifying and marking safe locations for subsurface explorations, and contacting USA (Underground Service Alert) for utility markings.

SUBSURFACE INVESTIGATION

Scope of Explorations

Two geotechnical borings were drilled near the endpoints of the damaged slope, along the outboard edge of the roadway. The locations of the completed borings were marked in the field and recorded by measuring with a tape from established points of reference. The approximate locations of the borings are shown on Figure 2, Site Exploration Plan.

The geotechnical borings were drilled by Cenozoic Exploration on July 19, 2017, using a truck-mounted Simco 2400 drill rig. Surface conditions at both borings were similar, consisting of approximately 2 feet of aggregate base of the roadway. The drill rig used a 6-inch-diameter solid stem auger. Boring B-1 was drilled to a total depth of 31.5 feet below ground surface (bgs). Boring B-2 was drilled to a total depth of 41.5 feet bgs.

Logging and Sampling

The materials encountered in the borings were logged in the field by a CE&G engineer. The soils were visually classified in the field, office, and laboratory according to the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS) in general accordance with ASTM D2487 and D2488.

During the drilling operations, soil samples were obtained using one of the following sampling methods:

- California Modified (CM) Sampler; 3.0 inch outer diameter (O.D.), 2.5 inch inner diameter (I.D.) (ASTM D1586)
- Standard Penetration Test (SPT) Split Spoon Sampler; 2.0 inch O.D., 1.375 inch I.D. (ASTM D1586)

The samplers were driven 18 inches (unless otherwise noted on the boring logs) with a 140-pound hammer, manila line, and cathead; dropping 30 inches in general conformance with ASTM D6066 procedures. The number of blows required to drive the SPT or CM

sampler for each 6-inch interval was recorded for each sample. The results are included on the boring logs. The blow counts included on the boring logs are uncorrected and represent field values.

Soil samples obtained from the borings were packaged and sealed in the field to reduce the potential for moisture loss and disturbance. The samples were taken to CE&G's Hayward office for laboratory testing and storage.

Soil Conditions Encountered

Soil conditions encountered at both ends of the Project area were similar. Borings B-1 and B-2 encountered pavement sections of approximately 5 inches of asphalt concrete pavement underlain by about 15 inches of aggregate base. Fill soils beneath the pavement section generally consist of poorly graded sands of medium dense consistency underlain by fine-grain sandy silts and lean clays to depths of about 15 feet bgs. Below the fill soils, dense medium sands were encountered to approximately 27 feet bgs. Firm fat clay and very dense clayey sands extended to the maximum depths explored in Borings B-1 and B-2 at 31.5 feet and 41.5 feet bgs, respectively. Additional details of the soils encountered may be found on the boring provided in Attachment A.

Groundwater Conditions Encountered

The groundwater elevation may vary depending on the time of year, storm events, and tidal influences. The groundwater elevation was observed in Boring B-2 to be approximately 33 feet below the ground surface at the time of exploration. The groundwater elevation selected for design should consider the effects of the seasons, storm events, and tidal influences.

GEOTECHNICAL LABORATORY TESTING

Laboratory testing was performed to obtain information regarding the physical and index properties of selected samples recovered from the exploratory borings. Tests performed included natural moisture content, dry unit weight, and grain size distribution. Tests were completed in general conformance with applicable ASTM standards. The results of the laboratory tests are included in Attachment B.

DISCUSSION AND ALTERNATIVES

The eastern slope along Park Avenue was damaged due to the toppling of trees, leading to uplift of the tree root balls causing movement along the slope. Based on site reconnaissance and our subsurface investigation, the damage appears to be shallow and only extend within the upper portions of the slope. Soils within the limits of the damaged slope are likely to be

loose and susceptible to erosion. Damaged areas include segments of bike lane where the roadway pavement lost support and failed, leaving the bike lane inoperable.

Based on the results of our investigation, it is our opinion the site is geologically and geotechnically suitable for reconstructing the damaged roadway embankment. Potential repair alternatives include reconstructing the damaged areas with an engineered slope, constructing a retaining structure such as soldier pile and lagging retaining wall or mechanically stabilized earth wall (i.e. segmental block wall), and a combination of engineered slope with retaining structure at the top or toe of slope.

ENGINEERED SLOPE REPAIR

An engineered slope repair would reconstruct the damaged slope to a stable configuration. The benefit of an engineered slope repair is being able to restore the slope to its previous inclination and provide a natural looking repair.

Lose materials in the damaged areas would be excavated and suitable on-site material would be recompacted to rebuild the slope. The reconstructed slope would be benched and keyed into competent material underlying the loose surficial and subsurface material. Geosynthetics such as geotextile fabric and/or geogrid reinforcement will be required to be incorporated to provide additional resistance for slope stability and/or to provide slope face stability along steepened slopes. The rebuilt slope would conform to the adjacent slopes at each lateral margin. Erosion protection would be incorporated to prevent surficial sluffs and materials from migrating downward. The top of the rebuilt slope will likely extend laterally into the roadway shoulder/bike lane, as segments of pavement have been damaged and will need to be repaved. An Engineer from CE&G should be present during excavation operations to verify depth and limit of disturbed and loose material. A typical section schematic of a reinforced earth repair is shown on Figure 3.

RETAINING WALL REPAIR

A retaining wall repair would consist of constructing a soldier pile and lagging wall or a mechanically stabilized earth (MSE) wall. The benefit of a retaining wall structure is it would allow for a gentler slope within the existing slope area along Park Avenue when compared to the previously described engineered slope repair.

Soldier pile and lagging wall would generally consist of drilling cast-in-drilled-hole (CIDH) piles offset from the edge of pavement, installing lagging (i.e. timber or precast concrete lagging), and regrading and recompacting loose material below the wall. Based on our site reconnaissance, it is judged that overhead clearance would not pose a challenge for

constructing CIDH piles from the roadway. It is likely that the east bound lane will need to be closed during construction of CIDH piles. Constructing an MSE wall offset from the edge of pavement will require large excavations well into the roadway to place geogrid reinforced compacted fill, resulting in costlier construction and greater impact to traffic operation along Park Avenue. A typical section schematic of a retaining wall repair is shown on Figure 3.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

CONCLUSIONS

Based on the understanding that the SCBRL will be fully cooperative with the repair efforts and that a stable slope can be constructed within the existing right-of-way, the likely preferred alternative would include rebuilding the damaged slope with an engineered reinforced-earth slope repair. The dormant SCBRL corridor can potentially be used for construction staging and temporary stockpiling of excavated soils. Constructing an engineered slope repair for this project is relatively cost effective and can be constructed using conventional construction equipment. The repair is also likely to blend with slopes adjacent to the project area when compared to a retaining wall alternative.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Cal Engineering & Geology is providing the following geotechnical design recommendations based on the data collected as part of our exploration and presented in this memorandum.

Prior to commencement of the earthwork operation, the site should be cleared and grubbed of existing vegetation. Prior to placement of fill materials, loose soil and vegetation should be removed from the areas to receive fill. All depressions created by tree and stump removal and demolition of existing structures should be excavated to firm soil. All fills shall be founded on firm competent soil.

Temporary Construction Slopes

In preparation of bank remediation, excavation of the over steepened slopes created by the bank slope failure should have temporary construction slopes no steeper than 1h:1v. Temporary construction slopes being completed to 1h:1v or flatter should be completed before keyway excavation is performed. This is to ensure global slope stability.

Consideration should be given to the sequence of construction activities. We currently anticipate the following sequence of activities:

- 1) Excavate existing slope and layback to maximum 1h:1v with intermediate benches;
- 2) Excavate and compact keyway foundation;
- 3) Build reinforced-earth slope by placing successive layers of fabric and compacted soil.

Staging of construction materials should be located a safe distance from the top of the slope failure, as the additional loads may decrease the stability of the slope. Stockpiles should be located along the bottom of slope, adjacent to the SCBRL corridor to ensure stability of the embankment slopes during construction.

Permanent Slope Repair

Permanent engineered slope repair is to consist of a reinforced-earth repair slope with a keyway at the toe of the bank slope. The embankment keyway footing should extend a minimum of 3 feet into competent native materials.

The reinforced slope is to be constructed using on-site materials generally consisting of sands, sandy silts, and lean clays. The material removed during excavation is anticipated to consist of these soils. Imported soil for use in construction of the reinforced slope should be primarily granular and should meet the following requirements:

- Free of organic matter, deleterious substances, debris and rocks or lumps larger than 3 inches in greatest dimension; no more than 15 percent of the rocks or lumps should be larger than 1-1/2 inches;
- At least 20 percent finer than No. 200 U.S. Standard Sieve.
- Plasticity index less than 20 percent;

Materials shall be compacted to a relative compaction of 90 percent of ASTM D1557. Materials shall be spread evenly and compacted in uniform lifts not exceeding 8 inches in un-compacted thickness. Engineered fill shall be reinforced with a high-density polyethylene (HDPE) uniaxial geogrid, consisting of Tensar UX1100 or equivalent. Geogrid shall be placed such that the strength direction of the geogrid is oriented into the embankment.

Surface drainage along the roadway is to be incorporated into the project plans where appropriate. A curb is recommended to reduce the potential for runoff to reach the slope face along the slope repair. Surface runoff should be collected and routed along the outboard shoulder of roadway to new or existing drop inlets that discharge away from the repair area.

LIMITATIONS

We have employed accepted geotechnical engineering procedures, and our professional opinions and conclusions are made in accordance with generally accepted geotechnical engineering principles and practices. This standard is in lieu of all other warranties, either expressed or implied.

au

Paul Sorci, P.E., G.E. Senior Engineer



Mehal Vitthal, E.I.T. Project Engineer

Attachments

Attachment A – Exploration Boring Logs

Attachment B – Laboratory Testing Results





DRILLED 7/17/2018

180920

DATABASE, ACCESSED ONLINE 08/03/2018.



6455 Almaden Expwy. Suite 100 San Jose, CA 95120 Phone: (408) 440-4542



FEBRUARY 2019

FEET



100 -





100

alley Road CA 94596 35-9771	PARK / BETWEEN C	PARK AVENUE SLOPE REPAIR BETWEEN CORONADO ST. AND KENNEDY DR. CAPITOLA, CALIFORNIA								
	CONCEPTU	AL TYPICAL S	ECTIONS							
	180920	FEBRUARY 2019	FIGURE 3							

Attachment A. Exploration Boring Logs

UNIFIED SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM (ASTM D-2487)											
Fie	Id Identifica	tion	Group Symbols	Typical N	ames	Laboratory Classification Criteria					
	Clean Gravels		GW	Well-graded gravels mixtures, little c	s, gravel-sand or no fines	$\begin{array}{c c} \textbf{H} \textbf{S} \textbf{J} & \overline{\textbf{O}} & C_{U} = D_{60} \div D_{10} \ge 4 \text{ and} \\ C_{C} = (D_{30})^{2} \div (D_{10} \times D_{60}) \ge 1 \text{ \&} \end{array}$					
	Gravels More than 50% coarse fraction	< 5% Fines	Fines GP Poorly graded gravels, gravel- sand mixtures, little or no fines		NNDS / SYMBS / Grav Sand Sand - C	$C_{U} = D_{60} \div D_{10} < 4$ and/or = $(D_{30})^{2} \div (D_{10} \times D_{60}) < 1 \& > 3$					
Soils terial is 0 sieve		Gravels with	GM	Silty gravels, poo gravel-sand-silt	orly graded t mixtures	PUAL BUAL BUAL BUAL BUCIaye MT o MT o	r MH				
of mar No. 20	No. 4 sieve	Fines >12% Fines	GC	Clayey gravels, po gravel-sand-clay	oorly graded y mixtures		r CH symbol GC/GM				
e-Gra In 50% on the I		Clean Sands	SW	Well-graded sand sands, little or	ds, gravelly no fines		$\begin{array}{c} C_{\text{U}} = D_{60} \div D_{10} \ge 6 and \\ = (D_{30})^2 \div (D_{10} \times D_{60}) \ge 1 \ \& \le 3 \end{array}$				
oarse ore tha ained o	Sands	< 5% Fines	SP	Poorly graded sar sands, little or	nds, gravelly no fines	Fines PP/GM: SSC: C ^c =	$C_{U} = D_{60} \div D_{10} < 6$ and/or = $(D_{30})^{2} \div (D_{10} \times D_{60}) < 1 \& > 3$				
<u></u>	coarse fraction	Sands with	SM	Silty sands, poo sand-silt mi	rly graded xtures	Fines cla ML o	r MH				
	No. 4 sieve	Fines	SC	Clayey sands, po sand-clay m	orly graded ixtures	50 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	r CH symbol SC/SM				
	Identification P	rocedure	s on Perce	entage Passing the	No. 40 Sieve	ΡΙ ΔΩΤΙ	CITY CHART				
		_	ML	Inorganic silts, ver rock flour, silty or sands with sligh	y fine sands, clayey fine t plasticity	For Classification Fine-Grained Fraction	of Fine-Grained Soils and on of Coarse-Grained Soils				
Soils materia 00 sieve.	Silts & Clays Liquid Limit less than 50%		CL	Inorganic clays of ium plasticity, grav and/or silty clays	low to med- velly, sandy, , lean clays	Equation of "A"-Line: PI = 4 @ LL Equation of "U"-Line: LL = 16 @ F	= 4 to 25.5, then PI = 0.73 × (LL - 20) PI = 0 to 7, then PI = 0.9 × (LL - 8)				
ainec 50% of No. 2			OL	Organic silts, or clays of low p	ganic silty lasticity		СН ог ОН				
ine-Grant re than t sses the	Silts & Clays		мн	Inorganic silts, m diatomaceous fi silty soil, elas	icaceous or ne sandy/- stic silts						
n ≥ g			СН	Inorganic clay plasticity, fa	s of high t clays		MH or PH				
	than 50%			Organic clays of high plast	medium to icity		L <u>50 60 70 80 90 100 11</u> 0				
HIGH		SOILS	РТ	Peat and othe organic s	er highly oils						
KEY TO SAMPLER TYPES AND OTHER LOG SYMBOLS CS California Standard Sampler CM California Modified Sampler SPT Standard Penetration Test Sampler SHL Shelby Tube Sampler BU Bulk Sample LL Liquid Limit of Sample (ASTM D-4318) PI Plasticity Index of Sample (ASTM D-4318) Qu Unconfined Compression Test (ASTM D-2166) EXAMPLER TYPES AND OTHER LOG SYMBOLS Depth at which Groundwater was Encountered During Drill Depth at which Groundwater was Measured After Drilling PP Pocket Penetrometer Test PTV Pocket Torvane Test -#200 % of Material Passing the No. 200 Sieve Test (ASTM D-114) PSA Particle-Size Analysis (ASTM D-422 & D-1140) C Consolidation Test (ASTM D-2435) TXUU Unconsolidated Undrained Compression Test (ASTM D-26											
KEY TO SAMPLE INTERVALS											
Length of Sampler Interval with a CM Sampler											
Length of Sampler Interval with a SPT Sampler NR No Sample Recovered for Interval Shown											
SHL	Length of Sampler	r Interval w	vith a SHL	Sampler							
	CE&G EERING & GEOLOGY		UNI	FIED SOIL CL AND KEY	ASSIFIC TO BORI	ATION SYSTEM NG LOG					

KEY TO SYMBOLS



PP - POCKET PENETROMETER (TSF)

- ▼ Water Level at End of
- ✓ Drilling, or as Shown
 → Water Level After 24
- Hours, or as Shown

<	E&G				BO	RIN	IG N	NUN	IBE PAGE	R E = 1 C	3-1 DF 1	
	ERING & GEOLOGY											
	CE	PROJECT NAME Capitola Park Avenue Slope Repair										
PROJECT N	UMBER 180920	PROJECT LOCATION _Capitola, CA										
DATE STAR	TED _7/19/2018 COMPLETED _7/19/2018	_ GROUND ELEVATION _90 ft DATUM _NAVD 88 HOLE SIZE _6" in.										
DRILLING C	Cenozoic Drilling	COORDINATES: LATITUDE <u>36.9807</u> LONGITUDE <u>-121.9383</u>										
DRILLING R	IG/METHOD _ 6-in. Solid Flight Auger	GROUNDWATER AT TIME OF DRILLING										
LOGGED B	Y A. Landivar CHECKED BY K. Loeb	GROUNDWA	ATER AT	END OF D	RILLIN	IG	-					
HAMMER T	YPE 140 lb hammer with 30 in. cathead	GROUNDWATER AFTER DRILLING										
o DEPTH (ft) GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION		SAMPLE TYPE	BLOW COUNTS (FIELD VALUE)	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	LIQUID LIMIT (%)	PLASTIC PLASTIC PLASTIC	PLASTICITY BLASTICITY INDEX (%)	FINES CONTENT (%)	
	Asphalt Pavement Poorly graded SAND (SP): brown, moist, dense, medium fines, trace gravel up to 0.5" [Fill?] Well graded SAND (SW): brown, moist, medium dense, t	coarse, trace			-							
	 <u>cementation</u> SILT w/ sand (ML): yellow, dry, very hard, low plasticity 	/	СМ	9-11-27	-							
	Sandy SILT (ML): yellow, dry, very hard, low plasticity, fir	ne sand	СМ	10-13-11	-	101	11					
	becomes moist, hard, fine to medium sand		СМ	10-24-46	-	72	28					
			СМ	21-44- 50/3"	-	73	26				56	
15	Poorly graded SAND w/ Silt (SP-SM): olive yellow, very d medium sand, trace of fines	lense, moist,			_							
	becomes yellowish brown, dense		СМ	18-30-40	_	98	7				13	
		-			-							
			SPT	12-13-14	-							
25	1.5" greenish black sand lens		SPT	12-9-14	-							
	Fat CLAY (CH): dark blueyish gray, moist, firm, high plas	ticity										
	2" Sand lens at the bottom of the sample	comont crowt	SPT	12-11-19	-							
	BOLLOTI OF DOTENDIE AL 31.5 IL. BOPENDIE DACKTIIIED WITH	cement grout.										

	C	CE&G				BO	RIN	IGN	IUN	IBE PAGE	R E 1 C	8-2 DF 2
CALE CLIEN PROJ DATE DRILI DRILI LOGO HAMM	IT <u>N</u> ECT N STAR LING C LING R GED B MER T	ERING & GEOLOGY CE IUMBER _180920 RTED _7/19/2018 COMPLETED _7/19/2018 CONTRACTOR _Cenozoic Drilling RIG/METHOD _6-in. Solid Flight Auger Y _A. Landivar CHECKED BY _K. Loeb YPE _140 lb hammer with 30 in. cathead	PROJECT NAME _ Capitola Park Avenue Slope Repair PROJECT LOCATION _Capitola, CA GROUND ELEVATION _90 ftDATUM _NAVD 88HOLE SIZE6 COORDINATES: LATITUDE _36.9809LONGITUDE121.9383 GROUNDWATER AT TIME OF DRILLING _33.0 ft GROUNDWATER AT END OF DRILLING GROUNDWATER AFTER DRILLING									<u>6" in.</u> 3
o DEPTH (ft)	GRAPHIC LOG	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION		SAMPLE TYPE	BLOW COUNTS (FIELD VALUE)	POCKET PEN. (tsf)	DRY UNIT WT. (pcf)	MOISTURE CONTENT (%)	LIQUID LIMIT (%)	PLASTIC PLASTIC MIT (%)	PLASTICITY ²³ INDEX (%)	FINES CONTENT (%)
		 Asphalt Pavement Poorly graded SAND (SP): brown, moist, medium dense, coarse, trace fines, trace gravel up to 0.5" [Fill?] Poorly graded SAND w/ gravel (SP): yellow, dry, medium medium to fine sand, gravel up to 0.5" Lean CLAY (CL): brown, moist, firm, low plasticity, some r Poorly graded SAND (SP): yellowish brown,dry to moist, dense, very fine sand SILT w/ sand (ML): yellow, dry to moist, hard, low plasticit sand Poorly graded SAND w/ Silt (SP-SM): olive yellow, moist t dense, medium sand 	dense, dense, oots medium y, very fine	СМ СМ СМ СМ	7-5-9 30-26-15 8-11-16 8-12-18 8-12-18 18-33- 50/5"		101 103 99	7 7 10				15
- <u>25</u> <u>30</u> 35		Poorly graded SAND w/ clay (SP): olive yellow, moist, der low plasticity clay Fat CLAY (CH): dark blueyish gray, moist, firm, high plast	icity	SPT	13-17-25	-						



Attachment B. Laboratory Testing Results



SUMMARY OF LABORATORY RESULTS

PAGE 1 OF 1

CAL ENGINEERING & GEOLOGY

CLIENT NCE

PROJECT NAME Capitola Park Avenue Slope Repair

PROJECT	NUMBER	180920		PROJECT LOCATION Capitola, CA								
Borehole	Depth	Date Tested	Liquid Limit	Plastic Limit	Plasticity Index	Maximum Screen Size (mm)	%<#200 Sieve	Class- ification	Water Content (%)	Dry Density (pcf)	Satur- ation (%)	Void Ratio
B-1	6.0	7/30/2018						ML	10.9	101.1		
B-1	8.0	7/30/2018						ML	28.0	71.8		
B-1	11.0	7/30/2018				9.5	56	ML	25.8	72.9		
B-1	16.0	7/30/2018				0.106	13	SP-SM	6.9	98.0		
B-2	6.0	7/30/2018						CL	6.6	101.3		
B-2	8.0	7/30/2018						SP	6.6	103.3		
B-2	10.0	7/30/2018						ML				
B-2	16.0	7/30/2018				0.106	15	SP-SM	10.4	99.2		

